

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JAMES E. DOYLE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Burnestta L. Bridge Deputy Attorney General IAN 27 1995

Office of Consumer Protection 123 West Washington Avenue P.O. Box 7856 Madison, WI 53707-7856 David J. Gilles Assistant Attorney General 608/266-1792 FAX 608/267-2778

January 24, 1995

DCCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

STORY OF SMAL

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 220
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 94-129, Policies and Rules

Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers'

Long Distance Carriers

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed please find eleven copies of the complaint and stipulated final judgment in <u>California v. Communique</u> <u>Telecommunications, Inc.</u>, State of California, Riverside County Case No. 253585. This action addresses the unlawful practices regarding the unauthorized switching of long distance carriers.

These copies are submitted to supplement the appendix filed in support of the comments of the National Association of Attorneys General Telecommunications Subcommittee and various state Attorneys General in the above matter. A copy of the cover page of this document is enclosed.

Please include these documents with those previously submitted. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

Sincerely yours,

David J. Gilles

Assistant Attorney General

DJG:djk Enclosures

cc: Herschel T. Elkins

No. of Copies rec'd Ot 10
List A B C D E

JAN 2 7 1995

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General Exempt from fees pursuant 2 of the State of California to Government Code Section 3 HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, 6103. 4 Senior Assistant Attorney General 5 JERRY SMILOWITZ, 6 Deputy Attorney General 7 State Bar No. 67582 AUG 93 1994 8 300 South Spring Street, Suite 500, North ARTHUR A. SIMS, Clerk 9 Los Angeles, California 90010 10 | Telephone: (213) 897-2636 11 GROVER TRASK, District Attorney DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL 12 of the County of Riverside 13 JAY E. ORR, Supervising Deputy District Attorney 14 ELISE M. JACOBS, Deputy District Attorney 15 State Bar. No. 100929 Date Time Division 16 4075 Main Street, Suite 100 **PSH** Riverside, California 92501-3662 18 |Telephone: (909) 275-5400 STATUS 19 20 Attorneys for Plaintiff COPY 21 22 23 IN THE CONSOLIDATED SUPERIOR/MUNICIPAL COURTS 24 OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 25 26 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) GENERAL CIVIL NO. 953585 27 Plaintiff,) 28 v. 29 30 COMMUNIQUE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMPLAINT FOR 31 a California corporation.) INJUNCTION, CIVIL 32 doing business as LOGICALL, PENALTIES AND OTHER 33 RELIEF 34 Defendant) 35 The People of the State of California, by and through Daniel 36 E. Lungren, Attorney General of the State of California, and

GROVER C. TRASK II
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
County of Riverside
4075 Main Street

1 Grover Trask, District Attorney of the County of Riverside, allege 2 upon information and belief the following:

Defendant transacts business within the County of Riverside and elsewhere throughout the State of California. alleged violations of law hereinafter described have been carried but within the County of Riverside and elsewhere throughout the State of California.

10

11

12

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

30

31

33

34 35

36

DEFENDANT

Defendant COMMUNIQUE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., doing 13 business in the State of California as LOGICALL, is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of California, with its headquarters located at 4015 Guasti Road, Ontario, CA, 91761-1598.

NATURE OF DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS

COMMUNIQUE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., doing business as LOGICALL, is a reseller of long distance service to consumers throughout the state of California and elsewhere across the country.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 (ACTS OF UNFAIR COMPETITION)

- Beginning at an exact date unknown to plaintiff, but at least within the last four (4) years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has engaged in acts of unfair competition as defined in Business and Professions Code Section 17200 including, 32 but not limited to, the following:
 - Recording a confidential communication without the consent of all parties, in violation of Penal Code Section 632.

3

2

4

PLEAD: #662

EJC:cv

B. Failing to verify orders for long distance service in accordance with the provisions of 47 C.F.R., Part 64, Subdivision K.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that:

5. Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, representatives, employees and all persons who act under, by, through, on behalf of, or in concert with Defendant, or any of them, with actual or constructive notice of any injunction or restraining order issued in this action, be permanently restrained from doing directly or indirectly, any of the following acts:

Engaging in any of the acts set forth in Paragraph Four (4) of this Complaint.

- 6. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17206, the Court assess and Plaintiff recover Two Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) from each Defendant for each violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17200 perpetrated by Defendant.
- 7. That Plaintiff have such other and further relief as the nature of the case may require and the Court deems proper to dissipate fully and successfully the effects of the untrue or misleading representations and the unfair business practices complained of herein.

Dated this 17th day of May, 1994.

GROVER TRASK District Attorney

Elise M. Jagobs

Deputy District Attorney

```
DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
                                            Exempt from fees pursuant
2 of the State of California
                                            to Government Code Section
3 HERSCHEL T. ELKINS,
                                            6103.
 4 Senior Assistant Attorney General
                                                         5 JERRY SMILOWITZ,
6 Deputy Attorney General
7 State Bar No. 67582
                                                     SUR 04 72
8 300 South Spring Street, Suite 500, North
9 Los Angeles, California 90010
10 |Telephone: (213) 897-2636
11 GROVER TRASK, District Attorney
12 of the County of Riverside
13 JAY E. ORR, Supervising Deputy District Attorney
14 ELISE M. JACOBS, Deputy District Attorney
15 ||State Bar. No. 100929
16 4075 Main Street, Suite 100
17 Riverside, California 92501-3662
18 |Telephone: (909) 275-5400
19
20
  Attorneys for Plaintiff
21
22
23
              IN THE CONSOLIDATED SUPERIOR/MUNICIPAL COURTS
24
                OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
25
26
   THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
                                              ) General Civil
27
                               Plaintiff,
                                              ) CASE NO.
28
29
                    v.
                                              ) STIPULATED FINAL
30
                                              ) JUDGMENT
31
  COMMUNIQUE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
  a California corporation, doing business
33
  as LOGICALL.
34
35
                                 Defendant.
        Plaintiff, The People of the State of California, appearing
36
```

GROVER C. TRASK II
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
County of Riverside

200

1 through its attorneys, Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General, and 2 Jerry Smilowitz, Deputy Attorney General and Grover Trask, District Attorney for the County of Riverside, Jay E. Orr, Supervising Deputy District Attorney, and Elise M. Jacobs, Deputy District Attorney; and Defendant, Communique Telecommunications, Inc., a California corporation doing business as Logicall,

appearing through counsel, Charles Helein;

It appearing to the Court that the parties hereto have stipulated and consented to the entry of the Permanent Injunction 10 and Final Judgment contained herein, without the taking of proof and without trial or other adjudication of any fact or law herein, and the Court having considered the pleadings, and good cause appearing therefrom:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and the parties to the action.

APPLICABILITY

The provisions of this Final Judgment are applicable to Defendant Communique Telecommunications, Inc., and to all persons, corporations, or other entities acting in concert or participating with Defendant Communique Telecommunications, Inc., who have actual or constructive notice of this Final Judgment.

INJUNCTION

- Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Defendant and all persons, corporations and entities set forth in Paragraph Two (2) above are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - Recording any confidential communication without the express, informed consent of all parties thereto.

GROVER C. TRASK II DISTRICT ATTORNEY

7

8

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33 34 35

36

\$500 is

For the purposes of this paragraph, the term "informed consent" means that the consumer consents to the recording of a conversation after he/she has been told at the beginning of any conversation which is being recorded: (1) that the conversation is being recorded, (2) that he/she must consent to the recording of the conversation or the conversation will be terminated, and (3) that he/she has the right to refuse to consent to the recording of the conversation.

- B. Failing to verify the authorization for long distance service in accordance with the procedures outlined in 47 C.F.R., Part 64, Subdivision K.
- C. Failing to disclose clearly in any telephone or other solicitation for long distance service, that the consumer is being switched from his/her present carrier to Logicall for the purpose of providing long distance service to that consumer.
- D. Changing long distance telephone service for any consumer, whether an individual or a business, without receiving authorization for the change from a person who is authorized to make the change.

For the purposes of this paragraph, a person is authorized to make the change if he/she is the person denominated on the telephone service, or if the consumer is a business entity, the person expressly represents that he/she is authorized to contract on behalf of the business entity.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

4. Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the court for such further orders and directions as may be necessary and appropriate for the application of this Judgment, for the modification of any of the

injunctive provisions hereof, for the enforcement of compliance herewith, and for the punishment of violations hereof.

3

MONETARY RELIEF

5 6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

5. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203 and 17206, Defendant Communique Telecommunications, Inc., shall pay to the Attorney General the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), of which Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) is to be deemed as civil penalties, Forth Thousand Dollars (\$40,000.00) as attorney's fees and costs, and Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) as cy pres restitution to the California Consumer Protection Trust Fund established in People v. ITT Financial Corp., Alameda County Superior Court number 656038-0. Said payment in the form of a money order or cashier's check is to be forwarded upon entry of the Final Judgment to the attention of Deputy Attorney General Jerry Smilowitz, Office of the Attorney General, 300 S. Spring St., Ste. 500, Los Angeles, CA. 90013.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203, and 17206, Defendant Communique Telecommunications, Inc., shall pay to Riverside County District Attorney's Office the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), of which Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000.00) is to be deemed as civil penalties, Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) as attorney's fees and costs, and Twenty Thousand (\$20,000.00) as cy pres restitution to the California Consumer Protection Trust Fund established in People v. ITT Financial Corp., Alameda County Superior Court number 656038-0. Said payment in the form of a money order or cashier's check is to be forwarded upon entry of the Final Judgment to the attention of Deputy District Attorney Elise Jacobs, Riverside County District Attorney's Office, 4075 Main Street, First Floor, Riverside, CA. 92501.

34 35

7. This Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction shall take effect immediately upon entry thereof.

- 1	i e				•			
1	8.				enter this Fi	nal Judgm	ent and	
2	Permanent	Injuncti	on forth	with.				
3		e i Lh		<u></u>			*	
4	Dated thi	s <u>411</u>	of U	ugust, 19	94.			
5	Dated this 4th of Quant, 1994.							
6						THE SUPE		TO TO
7					00001	IIII SOFE.	KIOK COU	KI
8	EJ:cv #664							
9								
10	<u> </u>							
11								
12 13							•	
14				-				
15								
16			-					
17								
18								
19							·	
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26							-	
27								
28							*	
29	·						•	
30								
31								
32		·						
33								
34 35								
35	_							

GROVER C. TRASK II DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Riverside 4075 Main Street

36

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

	FCC 94-292
In the Matter of)
Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers) CC Docket No. 94-129)))

SEPARATE APPENDIX TO THE

COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL

TELECOMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE

ATTORNEYS GENERAL OF THE STATES OF

ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, CONNECTICUT, FLORIDA,

ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, KANSAS, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS,

MINNESOTA, NEVADA, OHIO, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND,

TENNESSEE, VERMONT, WEST VIRGINIA AND WISCONSIN